



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/776,865	02/02/2001	Carl G. Hellerqvist	22100-0100 (46126-252687)	7056
23370	7590	06/11/2007	EXAMINER	
JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP 1100 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309			RAWLINGS, STEPHEN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# **Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/776,865

Applicant(s)

HELLERQVIST, CARL G.

Examiner

Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1643

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

(3) Elena S. Polovnikova, Ph.D.

(2) Jamie Greene.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 4-16, 35, 36, and 44.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)


THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**STEPHEN L. RAWLINGS, PH.D.**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Greene and Dr. Polovnikova discussed proposed amendments to the claims that are directed toward obviating the rejections of record, particularly the written description rejections. The Examiner provided an example of language that might be used in the claims to adequately describe the immunogenic fragments, so as to overcome the written description rejection, and suggested that the scope of the claims be narrowed so as to be more commensurate in scope with the disclosure and/or declaratory evidence now of record. Even so, the Examiner could not state whether such amendments would obviate the enablement rejection but agreed to carefully consider the merit of the amended claims in light of the disclosure, the declaratory evidence, and other factual evidence of record while assessing whether the skilled artisan could have made and/or used the claimed invention at the time the application was filed without undue and/or unreasonable experimentation .



**STEPHEN L. RAWLINGS, PH.D.**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**